Mumps Development Committee

Extension to the MDC Standard
Type A Release of the MUMPS Development Committee

FIRST LINE FORMAT

September 27, 1997

Produced by the MDC Subcommittee #15
Programming Structures

Art Smith, Chairman Mumps Development Committee

Wally Fort, Chairman Subcommittee #15

The reader is hereby notified that the following MDC specification has been approved by the MUMPS Development Committee but that it may be a partial specification that relies on information appearing in many parts of the MDC Standard. This specification is dynamic in nature, and the changes reflected by this approved change may not correspond to the latest specification available.

Because of the evolutionary nature of MDC specifications, the reader is further reminded that changes are likely to occur in the specification released, herein, prior to a complete republication of the MDC Standard.

© Copyright 1997 by the MUMPS Development Committee. This document may be reproduced in any form so long as acknowledgment of the source is made.

Anyone reproducing this release is requested to reproduce this introduction.

1.1 Title

FIRST LINE FORMAT

1.2 MDC Proposer and Sponsor:

Proposer: Thomas C. Salander 3629 Kimble road Baltimore, Maryland 21218-2027 (410) 889-0131 FORUM Sponsor: Wally Fort VA ISC-SF 301 Howard St.. Suite 600 San Francisco, CA. 94105 (415) 744-7520 forum

1.3 Motion:

None. This document supersedes X11/SC15/97-7

1.4 History:

Oct. 1997	X11/97-25	Final Document
Sept 1997	X11/SC15/97-7	Passed as MDC type A (22:0:3)
Mar. 1996	X11/SC15/97-1	Passed as a SC15 type A. (20:0:6)
Sept. 1996	X11/SC15/96-20	Request for updated version
Mar. 1996	X11/SC15/95-40	FIRST LINE FORMAT superseded by X11/SC15/TG9/96-4 (VA FIRST LINE FORMAT) Amended to "STRIKE ANNEX E" Passed (15-0-7).
Oct. 1995	X11/SC15/TG9/95-104	Passed, as amended in task group, as a replacement SC15 type B (20:0:5)
June 1995	X11/SC15/TG9/95-3	Passed in SC (9:8:4) Passed TG9 as amended (10:1:3)
10 May 199	3 X11/SC15/TG9/93-4	No action. New sponsor assigned.
26 February 1993X11/SC15/TG9/93-1		Approved as SC Type B 13:7:3
22 February	1993X11/SC15/TG9/93-1	The following changes were made: make location optional; change definition of person to nonatsign
14 January 1993 X11/SC15/TG9/93-1		Revised to delete the ambiguous pkgprm
3 December 1992X11/SC15/TG8/93-1		Fred Hiltz pointed out that this document was ambiguous in the separation of contact and pkgprm
19 October 1	1992X11/SC15/TG8/92-1	Approved for submission to SC15 approval with the following modifications:
13 June 199	2	TG8 requested to be dissolved, SC15 refused
12 June 1992		TG8 could not make a recommendation
11 June 199	2	Remanded to Task Group 8 by SC15
26 Decembe	r 1991 X11/SC15/91-13	Initial proposal

2 Justification of Proposed Change

2.1 Needs

The current document specifies a binding to a standard the MDC has not been able to obtain, and specifies a date/time format that is really a binding.

2.2 Existing Practice in Area of the Proposed Change

At least one vendor - M-Global - has provided tools that follow the existing MDC Type A since 1988. Users frequently follow the convention used by their system or tool vendor. Because of the

widespread use of non-standard formats, most tools that reference or manipulate the first line of a routine are of limited value, or even damaging, when used with routines that did not follow the convention assumed by the tool developer.

3. Description of Proposed change

3.1 General Description of the Proposed Change

This proposal removes ANNEX E (Routine First Line Format) from the X11.1 document.

3.2 Annotated Examples of Use

DR2 ;UTILITY,DR,DRS;1977-11-15T10:00:05-05:00;DATE READ;1

TAG ;POSTMASTER@FORUM.VA.GOV;;MailMan Driver;17.7

RTN ;ABC;DEF,XYZ,GROUP;1976-07-04T15:04:02z

3.3 Formalization

Strike Annex E. "Routine First Line Format" from X11.1.

4. Implementation Impacts

4.1 Effect on Existing User Practices and Investments

Current tools for managing and manipulating routines are designed to work with the proprietary format used by that implementation or package developer. This will continue to be true.

- 4.2 Effect on Existing Vendor Practices and Investments
 No impact expected.
- 4.3 Techniques and Costs for Compliance Verification
 None.
- 4.4 Legal Considerations

None expected

5. Closely Related Standards Activities

5.1 Other X11 Proposals Under Consideration

X11/SC15/tg9/95-1 Parameter Passing to a Routine

5.2 Other Related Standards Efforts

None.

5.3 Recommendations for Coordinating Liaison

None.

6. Associated Documents

ISO 8601:1988

Data elements and interchange formats-Information interchange-Representation of dates and times

ISO 8601:1988/Cor.1:1911 (E)

Data elements and interchange formats-Information interchange-Representation of dates and times,

Corrigendum 1

Technical 7. Issues, Pros and Cons, and Discussion

19 October 1992

- Change the existing practice to reflect the support of at least one vendor for the current Type A
- Correct the line description to include the first semicolon and the eol
- Clarify the definition of several elements to prohibit the inclusion of semicolons
- Change the date format to reference an ISO standard that allows a delimited date

27 February 1993

pro:

Remove ambiguity [I] Simplifies finding date [I] Backward compatible [I]

con:

Non-normative [6] Date is ambiguous [2] Looks bad [5]

June 95

Pro: Fixes errors

Con: Removes programmer's free choice

Looks bad

Abuses metalanguage re label

TG9 Pro:

Fixes an error

Con: Looks Bad.

Oct. 1995

Pro: Fixes errors

Fixes bad non-normative annex

Con: Removes programmer's free choice

Looks bad

Mar. 1996

TG9 voted to replace X11/SC15/TG9/95-104 with X11/SC15/TG9/96-4.

In SC15 X11/SC15/TG9/96-4 was amended to change the formalization to remove

"Annex E". This amendment passed (8-2) with the main motion, as amended passed (15-0-7)

Sept. 1996 Mar. 1997

Pro:

1. Pragmatic solution

2. Requested solution

No Con's

8. Glossary

9. Appendix

None.